

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	, FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY.DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,065	07/08/2003	Steve Jobs	APL11P260/P2877US2	9953
22434 7	7590 06/17/2005		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			NGUYEN, CHI Q	
P.O. BOX 702 OAKLAND. (50 CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3635	
1			DATE MAILED: 06/17/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,065	JOBS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chi Q Nguyen	3635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, the fixed period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4	<u>1/19/05</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims		•	
4)	drawn from consideration. /are objected to. nd/or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are. Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Amarkanan/a)			
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	3/08) 5)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/616,065

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the applicant's amendment filed on 4/19/05.

Upon further consideration, the previous stated allowable subject matters are being withdrawn and with new art and new rejections are presented as following:

Claim Objections

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the horizontal glass member" does not have antecedent basis and it should be "the horizontal glass tread".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, the applicant is advised to take out "to so as". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-20, 8, and 22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wille (US 5,636,483) in view of NPL (Glassstairs.com).

In regard claims 17, 18, 8, 22, Wille teaches a stairstep system comprising a pair of spaced vertical wall 10, a plurality of horizontal treads 35 positioned between the spaced apart vertical walls in a stepped apart relationship relative to one another, each of treads having ends; a plurality of connection arrangements 14, 15, 16, 16a, and 29 for fixing the opposing ends of the horizontal treads to the spaced apart vertical walls,

Application/Control Number: 10/616,065 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

each of the connection arrangements including a first connection member 16 that is adapted to be fixed to the vertical wall 10 and a second connection member 15 adapted to be fixed to the end of the horizontal tread by fasteners 29, the first connection member 16 having first interlocking portion (elongated body of the pin 16a), the second connection member 15 having a second interlocking portion 16, the first and second interlocking portions being configure to engage one another so as to place the horizontal tread in a secure relationship relative to the vertical walls 10. Wille does not teach specifically the vertical wall and the plurality of horizontal treads are glass. An NPL (Glassstairs.com) dated February 2003 teaches a spiral staircase system having a plurality of glass treads positioned in between two vertical glass walls (see enclosed pictures). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to modify Wille's vertical wall and horizontal treads for the glass vertical walls and a plurality of horizontal glass treads taught by NPL. The motivation for doing so would have been enhance the pleasing appearance for the staircase system.

In regard claim 19, Wille and NPL teach the claimed invention as stated except for specifically the vertical wall and horizontal glass treads are laminated glass structures. At the time of the invention, it would have been an obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the vertical walls and the plurality of horizontal treads are formed from laminated glass structures would considered as matter of design choice because the laminated glass structures having more than one layers, including antiscratch layer thus it would be a better choice for staircase system.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,065

Art Unit: 3635

In regard claim 20, Wille and NPL teach the claimed invention as stated except for wherein the vertical walls are formed from a first laminated glass structure and wherein the horizontal glass tread is formed from a second laminated glass structure that is different than the first laminated glass structure. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to have two different laminated glass structures for the vertical walls and the horizontal treads, respectively because as mentioned above the horizontal treads would needed anti-scratch laminated layer for preventing scratch since the horizontal treads support users' load.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-6, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 21, 26 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-22, 26-27, 1-6, 8-10, 12-13, and 15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6847, Mon-Thu (7:00-5:30), Fridays off or examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached at (571) 272-6842. The examiner's right fax number is (571) 273-6847.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published Art Unit: 3635

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197.

6/10/05

Naoko SLACK Prima Exam:—